



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/462,961	0	04/10/2000	JOHANNES KNOBLICH	GK-ZEI-3075	5712
26418	7590	10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
REED SMI	•	ORDS DEPARTME	ROBINSON, MARK A		
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	C, NY 10	022-7650		2872	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .			ww
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/462,961	KNOBLICH, JOHAN	NES
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark A. Robinson	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	*		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			set forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25,26,28-32,36 and 40-46</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-24,33-	35 and 37-39.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		MARK A. ROBII PRIMARY EXAM	S NSON MINER

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner has reviewed applicant's remarks. The final rejection is still deemed to be valid.